



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

len

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/884,226	06/20/2001	Giovanni Traverso	Q65045	3000
<div>7590 SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN, MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Ave. N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037-3213</div>			<div>EXAMINER WONG, BLANCHE</div>	
			<div>ART UNIT 2616</div>	<div>PAPER NUMBER</div>
			<div>MAIL DATE 06/27/2007</div>	<div>DELIVERY MODE PAPER</div>

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/884,226		TRAVERSO ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Blanche Wong		2616	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 March 2007.
- 2a) ☒ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10,12-18,20 and 21 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 2-10,12-18,20,21 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed March 28, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

With regard to claim 2, Applicant states that "alignment word is provided with a particular transition which is contained within a predefined data sequence and which can be used for phase alignment purposes". Remark, p.13, para. 2. However, Examiner respectfully disagrees.

If Applicant is arguing there is an "alignment word", such a limitation is not recited in the claims.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., alignment word) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

If Applicant is arguing an alignment word that has a particular transition, such a limitation is not recited in the claims. Examiner notes that there is a predefined data sequence, not an alignment word, that contains a logic transition in claim 2, line 8-9.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., alignment word that has a particular transition) are not recited in the rejected

claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

If Applicant is arguing an alignment word which is contained within a predefined data sequence, such a limitation is not recited in the claims.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., alignment word which is contained within a predefined data sequence) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

If Applicant is arguing an alignment word which can be used for phase alignment purposes, such a limitation is not recited in the claims.

In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., alignment word which can be used for phase alignment purposes) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

2. Examiner notes that claim 18 depends on a non-existent claim 11.

3. Examiner notes that claims 2,10,12 are now independent claims with no dependent claims.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: “[D]etecting the flow of said predefined data sequence containing a logic transition is detected” in lines 8-9 should be replaced with “detecting the flow of said predefined data sequence containing a logic transition”. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. **Claims 10 and 18** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

With regard to claim 10, it is unclear what the claim is a method claim or just one run-on preamble.

With regard to claim 18, it is unclear what is the independent claim from which this claim is dependent on.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

8. **Claims 2-10,13,20,21** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Fourcade et al. (U.S. Pat No. 4,390,985).

With regard to claims 2 and 10, Fourcade discloses synchronization of data transmission, comprising the steps of

measuring (deriving and shaping circuit 14 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-40) the phase of said input data flow (Input E in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 8) with respect to the phase of a reference signal (clock 12 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 27 and 50), for controlling the delay time introduced by a delay line (delay line 11 in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 29) in said input data flow depending on the measured phase (counter circuit 19 in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 66), wherein the phase of the input data flow is measured in a time interval (rhythm **f** based on rhythm **F** of clock 12) approximately corresponding to the transit time of a predefined data sequence (reference signal, col. 3, line 49 and 62; see also output of multiplexer 18 in Fig. 1) comprised in said input flow (“comparing the possible transmissions of the input bits with the signals displaced relative to one another by $1/kf$ obtained from a clock of rhythm $F=kf$ ”, col. 3, lines 14-16; and “The output of clock is connected to the input of a divider by k circuit supplying on k outputs signals of rhythm f displaced in time with respect to one another by a fast clock signal cycle of rhythm F ”, col. 3, lines 49-53),

detecting (**input D of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26 in Fig. 1**) the flow of said predefined data sequence (**reference signal**) containing a logic transition (**divider 17 and multiplexer 18 in Fig. 1**), and

generating an enable signal (**output Q of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26 in Fig. 1; see also sampling control input to the sampling circuit 13 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 31-32**) activating a phase sampling operation (**sampling circuit 13 in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 31**).

With regard to claim 3, Fourcade further discloses a masked reference signal (**rhythm f of F**) that is obtained from a reference signal (**clock**).

With regard to claim 4, see analysis for claim 2. Fourcade further discloses a delay line (**delay line 11 in Fig. 1, line 3, line 10**) that is provided with a fixed delay for producing a plurality of delayed phases from an input data flow (**a shift register having a shift clock input, col. 3, lines 10-11**).

With regard to claim 5, Fourcade further discloses a masked reference signal (**rhythm f of F**) that is used for controlling execution of a sampling operation (**sampling circuit 13**) of a plurality of delayed phases.

With regard to claim 6, Fourcade further discloses a second enable signal (**sampling control input to the sampling circuit 13**) that is obtained indicating the presence of the logic transition (**counter circuit 19**), and said second enable signal is

Art Unit: 2616

used for activating the sampling operation (**sampling circuit 13**) of a said plurality of delayed phases.

With regard to claim 7, Fourcade discloses the method according to claim 6. Fourcade discloses a second enable signal (**sampling control input to the sampling circuit 13**) that is obtained from a correction signal (**output from multiplexer 28 in Fig. 1**) deriving from an alignment operation (**counter circuit 19 + memory register 27 + multiplexer 28**) of an input data flow (**Input E**).

With regard to claim 8, Fourcade discloses a result of a sampling operation (**sampling circuit 10 in Fig. 1**) that is supplied to a control logic (**delay line 11 in Fig. 1**), which generates selection for controlling the delay time of a delay line (**delay line**), depending on the result of the sampling operation.

With regard to claim 9, Fourcade discloses a control logic (**counter circuit 19 in Fig. 1**) that decides for incrementing or decrementing (**forwarding counting input and backward counting input, col. 3, lines 67-68**) by one the index *i* of the selection signals.

With regard to claim 13, Fourcade further discloses a logic masker (**divider 17 + multiplexer 18 + D flip-flops 15,16,25,26 + counter circuit 19**) that is provided from obtaining a masked clock signal (**output of counter circuit 19 in Fig. 1**) from the

Art Unit: 2616

combination of the enable signal (**output Q of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26**) and reference signal (**clock**).

With regard to claims 20 and 21, Fourcade discloses synchronization of data transmission, comprising the steps of

detecting (**input D of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26 in Fig. 1**) a pre-defined data sequence (**reference signal, col. 3, line 49 and 62; see also output of multiplexer 18 in Fig. 1**) contained in said data flow (**Input E in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 8**);

in response to detection of said pre-defined data sequence, generating an enable signal (**output Q of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26 in Fig. 1; see also sampling control input to the sampling circuit 13 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 31-32**) during a time interval (**rhythm f based on rhythm F of clock 12**) approximately corresponding to a transit time of said pre-defined data sequence (**reference signal, col. 3, line 49 and 62; see also output of multiplexer 18 in Fig. 1**);

in response to said enable signal, activating a measurement (**deriving and shaping circuit 14 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 38-40**) of the phase of said data flow (**Input E in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 8**) with respect to the phase of a reference clock signal (**clock 12 in Fig. 1, col. 3, lines 27 and 50**) in said time interval, wherein the frequency of said reference clock signal is equal to a nominal frequency of said data flow ("**comparing the possible transmissions of the input bits with the signals displaced relative to one another by $1/kf$ obtained from a clock of rhythm $F=kf$** ", col. 3, lines 14-16; and "**The output of clock is connected to the input of a divider by k circuit supplying**

Art Unit: 2616

on k outputs signals of rhythm f displaced in time with respect to one another by a fast clock signal cycle of rhythm F", col. 3, lines 49-53); and

controlling a delay time introduced by a delay line (delay line 11 in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 29) in said data flow depending on the measured phase (counter circuit 19 in Fig. 1, col. 3, line 66).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

9. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

10. **Claims 12,14-17** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fourcade in view of Farwell (U.S. Pat No. 5,870,445).

With regard to claim 12, Fourcade discloses a phase alignment circuit of an input data flow, comprising:

a phase equalizer (**Fig. 1**) for equalizing the phase of a reference signal (**clock 12**) with the phase of the input data flow (**Input E**) and driving, through appropriate selection signals (**output Q of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26**), a delay line (**delay line 11**) operating on the input data flow (**Input E**), wherein a detector (**counter circuit 19**) is provided for the transit of a sure data sequence (**reference signal, col. 3, line 49 and 62; see also output of multiplexer 18 in Fig. 1**) containing a logic transition comprised in the input data flow, wherein said detector controls the operation of the phase equalizer through an enable signal (**output Q of D flip-flops 15,16,25,26; see also sampling control input to the sampling circuit 13**) (see also analysis for claim 2).

However, Fourcade fails to explicitly show a variable delay line.

In an analogous art, Farwell discloses a variable delay line (**variable delay line, col. 3, line 17; see also Fig. 3**).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine a variable delay line as taught in Farwell with Fourcade to provide for a way to deal with transmission discontinuities.

With regard to claim 14, Fourcade discloses a phase alignment circuit according to claims 13. However, Fourcade fails to explicitly show a delay line which produces a plurality of delayed phases.

Farwell discloses a delay line which produces a plurality of delayed phases (**Q outputs of an N-stage bidirectional shift register, col. 3, line 48-49**).

At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to include a plurality of delayed phases as taught in Farwell with Fourcade to provide a way to deal with transmission discontinuities.

With regard to claim 15, the combination of Fourcade and Farwell discloses a phase alignment circuit according to claim 14. Fourcade further discloses a sampler (**sampling circuit 13**) of a plurality of delayed phases, which employ a masked clock signal (**output of counter circuit 19 in Fig. 1**) as a clock signal.

With regard to claim 16, the combination of Fourcade and Farwell discloses a phase alignment circuit according to claim 15. Fourcade further discloses a sampler (**sampling circuit 13**) of a plurality of delayed phases that receives at least a second enable signal (**sampling control input to the sampling circuit 13**) generated by the detector (**counter circuit 19**), which indicates the transit of the transition in the sure data sequence (**reference signal, col. 3, line 49 and 62; see also output of multiplexer 18 in Fig. 1**).

With regard to claim 17, the combination of Fourcade and Farwell discloses a phase alignment circuit according to claim 15. Fourcade further discloses a control logic (**sampling circuit 13**) for receiving the sampled values of a plurality of delayed phases and emitting selection signals depending on them.

Conclusion

11. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire **THREE MONTHS** from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within **TWO MONTHS** of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the **THREE-MONTH** shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than **SIX MONTHS** from the date of this final action.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Blanche Wong whose telephone number is 571-272-3177. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 830am to 530pm.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Huy Vu can be reached on 571-272-3155. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

RW

BW

June 11, 2007

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Huy D. Vu', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

HUY D. VU
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600